

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-30 and 39-42 remain pending in the application. Claims 31-38 are canceled without prejudice as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The action objects to the specification and specifically the title. The title is amended as suggested by the examiner.

The action objects to the drawings. Fig. 1 of the drawings is corrected herewith such that the cover (20) is correctly identified. A sheet showing the marked changes as well as a replacement sheet is provided herewith.

The action objects to claim 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph allegedly for failing to distinctly point out and claim the invention. The applicant believes the claim as presented is clear as each of the circuit board and the cover include portions forming the inner lining. Nonetheless, the applicant has amended claim 30 to include a spacer member, each of the circuit board, the cover and the spacer having portions electrically coupled to form the inner lining. As such, it is submitted that claim 30, as amended, meets the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

The action rejects claim 1. Claim 1 is amended to incorporate the limitation of claim 2, which was indicated in the action as being allowable. As such, it is submitted that claim 1, as amended, is allowable. For similar reasons it is submitted that claims 19, 24-27 and 30 are allowable as are claims dependent thereon. The applicant, however, reserves the right to present claims of the same or substantially the same scope as unamended claims 1, 19, 24-27 and 30 in later applications.

Claims 3, 20-21 and 39 are amended to correct dependency and/or provide appropriate antecedent basis in view of the amendments to claims 1 and 19.

Claims 28 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. However, these claims are in independent form and believed to be allowable. Claim 28 is amended only to correct antecedent basis for the conductive spacer and remains of the same scope. Allowance of these claims is respectfully requested.

Application No. 09/886,854  
Amendment dated June 1, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of March 1, 2006

Docket No.: 30521/491A

The applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the provisional obviousness double patenting rejection.

In view of the above amendment and remarks, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: June 1, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By /Anthony G. Sitko/  
Anthony G. Sitko  
Registration No.: 36,278  
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300  
Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357  
(312) 474-6300  
Attorney for Applicant

Attachments